

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,072	07/18/2001	Michael B. Jones	MS1-204USC1	9796
22801 7590 11/30/2007 LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, CAMQUY	
SPOKANE, W	A 99201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2195	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		11/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·	Application No.	Applic	ant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/909,072		SET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Un	and the second s				
	•	Camquy Truong	2195					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ndence address				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ R	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 September 2007</u> .							
2a)⊠ TI	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Si	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-30</u> is/are rejected.							
·	laim(s) is/are objected to.			•				
8)[_] C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	ent.					
Application Papers								
9)[] Th	e specification is objected to by the Examine	r.·						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•							
		•						
Attachment(s	•							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		erview Summary (PTO-41 per No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/909,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 24-30 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sreenan (U.S. Patent 5,742,772) in view of Wrabetz et al. (U.S. Patent 5,442,791).
- 4. Screenan and Wrabetz were cited in the last office action.
- 5. As to claim 24, Sreenan teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: in computer system having resources and a resource planner for granting reservations of amounts of resources to activities, a computer-implemented method comprising:

Submitting a request for a reservation of a set of resources in specified amounts from an activity to the resource planner (resource manager receives the QOS specification (set of resource demands, amount of memory) from clients through bridge service, col. 12, lines 35-37; col. 5, lines 45-60);

Art Unit: 2195

Determining at the resource planner that the request may not be granted (resource manager determine whether resource can be allocated to meet the QOS specification (col. 2, lines 21-22; col. 12, lines 42-44);

Using the returned list at the activity to reformulate the request for a reservation of the set of resources to specify new requested amounts (client may alter their QOS specifications (set of resource demands/ amount of memory), col. 2, lines 23-24; col. 9, lines 65-67; col. 12, line 45);

Resubmitting the reformulated request to the resource planner (clients may alter its request and retry and the internal negotiation process is repeated, col. 9, lines 65-67; col. 10, lines 60-61); and

Executing the activity (col. 10, lines 1-13).

- 6. Sreenan does not explicitly teach returning from the resource planner to the activity a list of amounts of the set of resources that are currently available to the activity. However, Wrabetz teaches returning from the resource planner to the activity a list of amounts of the set of resources that are currently available to the activity (return a list of resources which can be used to satisfy the resource request to the remote execution interface, col. 6, lines 47-67; col. 7, lines 39-45; col. 13, lines 34-42; col. 34, lines 38-47).
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporation the teaching of returning a list of amounts of the

Application/Control Number: 09/909,072

Art Unit: 2195

0405

set of resource that are currently available by Wrabetz to the invention of Sreenan because this allows dynamically allocating remote resources to request for remote services in that computer network environment.

8. As to claim 25, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 1. In addition, Screenan teaches the invention as claimed including: in a computer system having resources and a resource planner for granting reservations of amounts of resources to activities performed on the computer system (col. 5, lines 45-62; col. 7, line 59 – col. 8, line 18), a method comprising the computer-implemented steps of:

negotiating between the resource planner and activities to reserve shares of the resources with the resource planner on behalf of the activities (col. 2, lines 10-26; col. 10, lines 63); and

in view of changing resource usage or requirements, renegotiating between the resource planner and the activities to change reservations of resources on behalf of the activities to reflect the changing resource usage or requirements (col. 2, lines 10-26; col. 10, lines 27-63).

- 9. As to claim 26, Screenan teaches the changing resource usage or requirements are the product of a new activity being performed (col. 2, lines 22-26).
- 10. As to claim 27, Screenan teaches the changing resource usage or requirements are the product of an activity changing its resource requirements (col. 2, lines 22-26;

Application/Control Number: 09/909,072

Art Unit: 2195

col. 10, lines 27-35).

- 11. As to claims 28- 29, Screenan teaches the changing resource usage or requirements are the product of a persistent overload of use of a resource (col. 11, lines 32-52).
- 12. As to claim 30, Screenan teaches the changing resource usage requirements are the product of a change in resource allocation policy (col. 2, lines 27-35).

Response to the argument

- 13. Applicant arguments filed on 3/23/05 had been considered but they are not persuasive. In the remarks applicant argued (1) " neither references disclose or suggest the feature of a list including an amount of each resource in a set of resources that is currently available to the activity". (2) " Neither references disclose or suggest the amount being specified in terms of units specific to each resource in the set of resource".
- 14. Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's remarks:

As to point (1), Wrabetz teaches the user program 20 sends a resource query 32 to the RQM 44, the resource query 32 identifies the requesting user, the desired resource, constraints which the property values of the resources must match and the list of qualifying resources 66 which is to be returned to the user program (col. 16, lines 20-32)

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/909,072

Art Unit: 2195

_ . _ _

/ the RQM 44 searches the RIB 42 to create a list of all of the resources that match the requested resource type (col. 11, lines 56-60; col. 16, lines 36-66).

As to point (2), Wrabetz teaches the resource information database is a centralized database, typically located on one or more of the processors in the network that will have sufficient CPU capacity to execute the resource management component in a timely manner (col. 8, lines 59-64).

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/909,072

Art Unit: 2195

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camquy Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3773. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3756. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

Camquy Truong

October 2, 2007

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210

Page 7